# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>A45119H</b>				FOR FURTHER ACTION	N	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/JP2004/017837				International filing date (day)	/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)  02.12.2003			
						02.12.2005			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC  C12P17/00 // (C12P17/00, C12R1:465) (C12P17/00, C12R1:66)  (C12P17/00, C12R1:80)									
Applicant  MERCIAN CORPORATION									
1.				ninary examination report, est e applicant according to Articl	•	International Preliminary Examining Authority			
2.	This R	REPORT consists	of a total of	6	_ sheets, including	g this cover sheet.			
3.	This re	eport is also accor	npanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:					
	a	(sent to the	applicant and	to the International Bureau) a	total of	sheets, as follows:			
			containing rec	_		mended and are the basis for this report and/or le 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative			
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental								
	Box.  b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))								
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).								
4.									
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the	report					
		Box No. II	Priority						
		Box No. III	Non-establis	shment of opinion with regard	to novelty, inventi	ive step and industrial applicability			
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. IV	Lack of unit	y of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industricitations and explanations supporting such statement				lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
		Box No. VI	Certain docu	uments cited					
	Ш	Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international applica	tion				
	<u>Ш</u>	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	ervations on the international a	pplication				
Date of	submiss	ion of the demand	1	Date o	f completion of thi	is report			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP			IPEA/JP	Author	rized officer				
Facsimile No.				Teleph	one No.				

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/017837

Box	No. I	I Basis of the report		
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the internation	onal application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise	
		This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purport international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))	age into the following languageooses of:	,
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4	<b>(</b> )	
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and	/or 55.3)	
2.	rece		report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the re referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to	
		the description:		
		pages	as originally filed/furnished	
			received by this Authority on	
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
		the claims:		
		nos.	as originally filed/furnished	
			as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	
			received by this Authority on	
			received by this Authority on	
	П	the drawings:		
	Ш			
		sheets	as originally filed/furnished	
			received by this Authority on	
	$\vdash$	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplen	nental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
3.	Ш	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as f	dments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since led, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	e
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."	

nternational application No.
PCT/JP2004/017837

Box	x No. I	V Lack of unity of invention
1.		In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
		restricted the claims.
		paid additional fees.
		paid additional fees under protest.
		neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.
2.	$\boxtimes$	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3.	This	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
		complied with.
	$\bowtie$	not complied with for the following reasons:
		The feature that is common to claims 1 to 10 the
		optically active tetrahydrothiophen-3-ol and the items
		that are associated therewith.
		However, as a result of the search it became
		apparent that documents 1 to 3 disclose optically
		active tetrahydrothiophen-3-ols (in particular, refer
		to document 1, claim 5; document 2, example 11; and
		document 3, usage example 3); therefore, the
		abovementioned common feature is not novel in as much
		as said feature is disclosed in the abovementioned
		documents.
		In other words, the abovementioned common
		feature does not define a contribution over the prior
		art, and thus is not a special technical feature in
		the meaning of the second sentence of PCT Rule 13.2.
		[Refer to the Supplemental Box]
4.	Con	sequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	$\square$	all parts.
		the parts relating to claims Nos.

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

PCT/JP2004/017837

 Box No. V
 Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

 1.
 Statement

 1.
 Novelty (N)
 Claims Claims
 1-10
 YES

 Claims Claims
 Claims Claims
 NO

 Inventive step (IS)
 Claims Claims Claims
 1-3 (Claims Applicability)
 YES

 Claims C

### 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

- Document 1: J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115 (8), pages 3318 to 3319
- Document 2: Canadian Journal of Chemistry, 1981, 59 (11), pages 1574 to 1579
- Document 3: JP 63-287781 A (Pfizer Inc.), 24 November 1988
- Document 4: JP 4-139140 A (Takasago International Corp.),
  13 May 1992
- Document 5: JP 4-139192 A (Takasago International Corp.),
  13 May 1992

The inventions set forth in claims 4 to 10 do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 5 cited in the international search report.

Documents 1 to 5 disclose optically active tetrahydrothiophen-3-ols.

At the time the present application was filed, optical resolution methods for gradually oversaturating a racemate-saturated solution that contains an overabundance of one of the enantiomers of the racemate in order to form crystals of the overabundant isomer were well known to a person skilled in the art. In addition, a person skilled in the art could optimize the solvent and

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/017837

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

the temperature conditions that are employed during the crystallization process, as appropriate.

Such being the case, it would have been easy for a person skilled in the art to invent the inventions set forth in claims 4 to 10 of the present application in the light of the inventions disclosed in documents 1 to 5 and the well-known feature that is indicated above.

The inventions set forth in claims 1 to 3 involve an inventive step in relation to documents 1 to 5.

Documents 1 to 5 do not disclose the method for biologically converting tetrahydrothiophen-3-ones into (R)-tetrahydrothiophen-3-ols by means of a preparation that comprises a strain of bacteria belonging to the genus *Penicillium*, the genus *Aspergillus* or the genus *Streptomyces*, or cells that were cultured therefrom. Furthermore, it would not have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of the method in question in the light of the disclosures in documents 1 to 5.

PCT/JP2004/017837

#### Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

Box IV.3

Such being the case, there is no feature that is common to all of the claims. Furthermore, there is no other common feature that can be considered to be a special technical feature in the meaning of the second sentence of PCT Rule 13.2, and thus there cannot be found to be a technical relationship, in the meaning of PCT Rule 13, among these different inventions.

Therefore, claims 1 to 10 clearly do not conform to the requirement of unity of invention.

Consequently, the claims set forth two groups of inventions, as follows:

- (1) the inventions set forth in claims 1 to 3; and
- (2) the inventions set forth in claims 4 to 10.

Document 1: JP 63-287781 A

Document 2: JP 4-139192 A

Document 3: JP 4-139140 A